

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/872,169	06/01/2001	Seda Taysi	60612-300301	60612-300301 8768	
75	590 06/24/2004		EXAMINER		
MARK J DANIELSON			FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A		
	VINTHROP LLP BOULEVARD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
MCLEAN, VA	22102		3627 DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Öffice Action Commons	09/872,169	TAYSI, SEDA	OB				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Joseph A. Fischetti	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	9SS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this comn :D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/23/	<u>′04</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,2,5-9,12,14-17 and 21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4,10,11,13 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO	-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			200				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioapplication from the International Burea		eu III tilis National Si	aye				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
000 11.0 21.251.00 25.21.00 25.11.00 25.10.11.01 2 110.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-1	52)				

Art Unit: 3627

₹`

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1,2,5-9,12,14-17,21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to

37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no

allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No.

4/23/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3,4,10,11,13,18,19,20 ARE rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Pfenninger et al. in view of Miller.

Pfenninger et al. disclose creating at least one online questionnaire (survey col. 4

lines 4-9) residing on a web site (web server 16 and database 12 provide a website)

and wherein access to said web site is protected by at least an interviewee specific

password (website defined as the survey questions which are accessed using a valid ID

col. 5 lines 34-36), providing management tools to an administrator managing said

online questionnaire process wherein said management tools includes providing a

tracking tool allowing the administrator to track the progress of the completion of said

questionnaire by each interviewee (see col. 8 lines, 20-30 for the disclosure of a status

Application/Control Number: 09/872,169

Art Unit: 3627

page tracking the number of completed tests). Pfenninger et al. do disclose providing data organization tools allowing the administrator to document and determine results based on the response data collected from interviewee questionnaire(col. 7, lines15-22). However the documentation does not mention tax appraisal of the given tested. Miller does disclose using questionnaire information to evaluate a person's tax exposure. It would be obvious to use the method of Pfenninger et al. to include a tax assessment mode since the Pfennijnger et al is to provide remote access to information for evaluation purposes the motivation being the remote evaluation and assessment of information.

Re claim 4: as set forth above, Pfenninger et al. disclose at least one online questionnaire residing on a password protected web site; providing administration tools for organizing and documenting said tax data; providing report generating tools (col. 7 line 44). However the application to a tax data application is not specifically disclosed. But Miller does disclose using questionnaire information to evaluate a person's tax exposure. It would be obvious to use the method of Pfenninger et al. to include a tax assessment mode which includes using said report generating tool and said tax data to generate reports used in documenting and determining tax credit since the Pfennijnger et al provides remote access to information for evaluation purposes the motivation being the remote evaluation and assessment of information.

Application/Control Number: 09/872,169

Art Unit: 3627

RE claim 10: the pre-populated data in said questionnaires general information section

Page 4

is read as the pre-selected subject matter of the survey used.

Re claim 11:see valid ID col. 5 lines 34-36 for access.

Re claim 13. See col. 10 lines 55-62 for concurre3went review of survey responses by

administrator.

Re claim 18: see col. 5 lines 25-32 for disclosure of URL with embedded link in email

message answering using IP addresses and limiting access by assigning interviewee

specific passwords.

Re claim 19: the tester assignment page110 allows notice of users.

Re claim 20: Official Notice is taken with respect to the old and notorious use of

instructions in administering a survey questions.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Primary

Examiner Joseph A. Fischetti at telephone number (703) 305-0731.

78